

Uluslararası Sosyal Araştırmalar Dergisi The Journal of International Social Research Cilt: 5 Sayı: 22 Volume: 5 Issue: 22 Yaz 2012 Summer 2012 www.sosyalarastirmalar.com Issn: 1307-9581

ON HOMONYMOUS EXPRESSIONS IN THE QUR'AN: A CASE STUDY OF THE ENGLISH TRANSLATIONS OF THE TERM فسساد (FASAD)

Abbas Eslami RASEKH* Hossein Vahid DASTJERDI** Azin Sadat BASSIR*

Abstract

Homonymy, as one of the two main sub-divisions of lexical ambiguity (Klepousniotou, 2002, p.1) presents a challenge in the translation process. Since Qur'anic discourse abounds with homonymic expressions(Abdul-Raof, 2001, p.90)whose meaning is derived from the context, the translators of the Qur'an should undertake a demanding task decoding the intended meanings.

The present study was an attempt to provide an overview of the main theoretical issues concerning homonymy, representing the seven different interpretations of the homonymous term (fasād: corruption) in the Qur'an along with its equivalents in sixteen well-known English renderings. It alsoaimed at determining the extent of the translators' success in avoiding ambiguity. The results suggest that faced with the challenge of cultural and linguistic relativity, thetranslators fail to take account of the delicate meaning offshoots of the homonymous word *fasād* and its derivations.

Keywords: Homonymy, Semantics, Lexical Ambiguity, Primary Meaning, Qur'anic Discourse, Context.

1. Introduction

The first step toward the selection of appropriate equivalents of the source language (SL) text items in the process of translation is the 'decoding' stage (Karimi, 2006, p.1). Among the variety of problematic issues that a translator may face in fulfilling the stage of successful decoding are ambiguous lexical items which cover two or more pragmatic interpretations where only one has been intended. "Lexical ambiguity is not a homogeneous phenomenon, it is rather subdivided into two distinct types, namely homonymy and polysemy" (Klepousniotou, 2002, p.1). Homonymy, which is the focus of the present study, is thought to be one of the linguistic phenomena giving rise to misinterpretation of the source text. As far as Qur'anic discourse with its abundant homonymous expressions is concerned, the translator would undoubtedly face obstacles. One of these obstacles is observed in the translation of the word $\frac{1}{2}$ (fasād: corruption) and its derivations. In his reference book *Semantic Aspects of the Holy Qur'an* (1960), Teflisi has proposed seven meanings for the homonymous termfasād. In the

^{*} Assistant Professor at the University of Isfahan.

^{**} Associate Professor at the University of Isfahan.

^{***} Lecturer at Sobh-e Sadegh Institute of Higher Education

following sections, the concept of homonymy which causes seven types of ambiguity will beexamined.

1.1. Homonymy: Translating Ambiguities

A simplified definition of homonymy would be *having the same name* (Schulze, 2001, p.2). It has been defined in a variety of more or less the same ways by different theorists. As an example, Fromkin (1988, p. 122) defines homonymy as "two or more words with identical phonological forms, but with different meanings." According to Yule, "the term homonymy is used when one form (word), written or spoken has two or more unrelated meanings. Homonyms are words which have quite separate meanings, but which have accidentally come to have exactly the same form." (1966, p.121).

According to Richards and Schmidt (2002, p.241) homonyms are "words which are written in the same way and sound alike but which have different meanings." The word *lie* as an example is homonymous and demonstrates the fact that some lexical items are potentially ambiguous, the interpretation of which depends on the context of use. As it was mentioned earlier, homonymy is asub-division of lexical ambiguity, which itself, is a subcategory of the central concept of ambiguity.

1.2. Ambiguity

Ambiguity can be roughly defined as "openness to different interpretations; or an instance in which some use of language may be understood in diverse ways. Sometimes known as 'plurisignation' or 'multiple meaning'" (Baldick, 2008, p.10). Newmark (1988, p.218) asserts that ambiguity is"a stretch of SL text, normally a word or a syntactic structure, having apparently more than one meaning, in or in spite of its context." Ambiguity is a linguistic feature which has been divided into different types. One of the most well-known classifications of ambiguity is that of Newmark (1988, p. 218-220) who distinguishes seven types of ambiguity as follows:

a) Grammatical ambiguityoccurs when a sentence is syntactically ambiguous within its context, that it must be poorly written. If the context is informative enough, it can be disambiguated. For example, in Persian sentence الثريمة عنه كنه كفت دوستش به يعلى / Ali be dūstashgoftkemaghāleashmontashershodehast./): Ali told his friend that his article had been published. the reference of the genitive pronoun his is ambiguous.

c) Pragmatic ambiguity is inevitably more common in written than in spoken language, since it arises when the tone or the emphasis in an SL sentence is not clear. For example, the emphasis of a word in a sentence such as '*I*'*m* working here today', can only be perceived, if at all, from its context, although using italics for one word would help.

e) Idiolectalambiguityarises when people use some words in a sense that is peculiar to themselves, often because they have heard them used in many situations but have never looked them up in a dictionary, or because they feel a lexical gap in their language or thought and fill it with an inappropriate word.

f) Referential ambiguity: in a sense all ambiguity is referential, since it prompts two or more images of the reality the translator is trying to describe. A good example of referential ambiguity can be seen in the English word *cousin*, referring to one or more of a number of relatives.

g) Metaphorical ambiguity is the result of a metaphorical expression which prompts more than one meaning, of which only one is intended. The only option is to translate the most probable sense, and to put the less probable sense in a footnote if this sense is important. For example the Persian metaphorical expression (----/golampazhmord/) can be interpreted as *My flower withered*.or*My beloved passed away*.

1.3 Translation of Ambiguity

Althoughambiguity is an intrinsic phenomenon of natural language (Kiyavitskaya et al, 2008) and it could not be avoided in transferring the message from the SL text into the TL text, there has not been an applicable theoretical framework to show how a translator should deal with such a phenomenon in translation. Nevertheless, Newmark (1988) believes that most sentences comprise a deal of lexical and grammatical ambiguity and this ambiguity can be cleared up by the micro- and the macro context. Referential ambiguity must be retained and pointed out, if it can not be cleared up by the translator. Linguistic ambiguity may enrich the text when multiple meanings are intended, and the translator should attempt to reproduce it, but if unable to do so, only the closest approximation to the meaning intended by the SL writer is rendered.

2. Research Question

This study is an attempt to answer the following question:

To what extent have the translators been successful in selecting the appropriate equivalents of the homonymous term*fasād* in theirrenderings, given the views of authorized Muslimscholars' interpretations regarding the precise discoursal meaning intended by different verses in which it occurs?

3. Methodology

3.1. Materials

The Materials of this research were derived from a) the verses of the holy Qur'an, b) the reference book, *Semantic Aspects of the Holy Qur'anby* Teflisi (1960), c) fourrenowned interpretations of the Qur'an-two Sunnite Arab interpretations and two Shiite Iranian onesnamely, *Tafsir Al-Jalalayn* (1505) by Al-Mahalli and Al-Suyuti, *Tafsir Al-Qurtubi*(1273) by Al-Qurtubi, *Tafsir Al-Mizan* (1892-1981) by Allamah Tabatabai and *TafsirNemoone*(1982) by Makarem Shirazi, and d) sixteen English translations of the Qur'an.

3.2. Data Collection

For collecting the required data, the equivalents of the word fasādand its derivations with seven pragmatic meanings transferredby sixteen translators were examined to discover the possible variations leading to inappropriate renderings.

3.3. Procedures

The following steps were taken to analyze the collected data:

- 1. The meaning variations of the word *fasād* and its derivations in the Qur'an were specifiedfollowing Teflisi's commentary in his book*Semantic Aspects of the Holy Qur'an*(1960)
- 2. One verse from the original Arabic text was extracted for each variant meaning.

3. The explanations of fivewell-known interpreters of the Qur'an for the word *fasād* and its derivations were detected locate the parallelinterpretations of the Word of God among the sixQur'anic scholars (Teflisi, Al-Mahalli, Al-Suyuti, Al-Qurtubi,AllamahTabatabai, and MakaremShirazi)

4. The English equivalents of the seven different meanings derived from the word fasādand its derivations were located.

5. The meaning differences existing among the translators' renderings and authorized interpreters'explanations were detected.

6. The appropriate renderings in the sixteentranslations were determinedbased on the five sources mentioned above see how different the interpretations were from the translators' renderings.

4. Data Analysis and Discussion

Based on the collected data, the following seven different meanings for the word *fasād*and its derivations in the Qur'an have been proposed by Teflisi (1960, p. 222-224):

1. کنافرمان = (/nā farmāni/) =insubordination ینافرمان = (/al-ma'āsi/) عنافر

drought

- 5. کردن خراب=(/al-takhrib/)کردن خراب) التخسر/ (/kharābkardan/) = destroying
- 6. كر دنجادو (/jādūkardan/) = bewitching
- 7. الفساد (/tabāhikardan/) = corruption

Below are thefive Qur'an interpreters' explanations for each of the above different meanings and the researchers' elaboration on the selected English equivalents in the sixteen renderings respectively.

4. 1. المعاص (/al-ma'āsi/) = الفرمسان (/al-ma'āsi/) = insubordination

The selected verse for this meaning is the56th verse of chapter 7, the Surah, AL-'Araf (The Heights).

. مكنيد فسداد أن اصلاح از بس زمين در : اصلاحها بعد الارض في ولانفسيدوا

Transliteration: Wa-lAtufsidu fi-l-arziba'daislahiha

The commentaries proposed for the term*Fasād*, from which the word*Tufsidu* in the above verse was derived, are presented in Table 1 below:

Qur'anic scholars	Interpretations of the word <i>fasād</i>
1. Al-Mahalli, Al-Suyuti	idolatry and acts of disobedience
2. Al-Qurtubi	polytheism, killing and chaos
3. AllamahTabatabai	disrupting public affairs and oppression
4. MakaremShirazi	oppression or paganism or both

Table 1: Interpretations of the TermFasādaccording to Five Authorized Qur'anic Scholars

- 140 -

As shown in the table above, the interpretation put forward by Al-Mahalli, Al-Suyutiis the closest one to that of Teflisi in his reference book *Semantic Aspects of the Qur'an*(1960, p. 222). Given this meaning of insubordination or disobedience, the sixteen English translations of the verse under discussion were compared and contrasted. The English equivalents chosen have been underlined.

Yusuf Ali	Do no mischief on the earth, after it hath been set in order,
<u>Pickthal</u>	Work not confusion in the earth after the fair ordering (thereof).
Arberry	Do not corruption in the land, after it has been set right;
<u>Shakir</u>	And do not make mischief in the earth after its reformation,
Sarwar	Do not destroy the land after it has been well established but pray to God,
<u>Khalifa</u>	Do not corrupt the earth after it has been set straight,
<u>Hilali/Khan</u>	And do not do mischief on the earth, after it has been set in order,
Saheeh Int.	And cause not corruption upon the earth after its reformation.
Malik	Do not create mischief in the land after it has been set in order.
Shabbir	Hence, do not spread corruption on earth after it has been set in order.
<u>Maulana Ali</u>	And make not mischiefin the earth after its reformation,
Free Minds	And <u>do not corrupt</u> the Earth after it has been made right;
<u>Qaribullah</u>	Do not make mischief in the earth after it has been put right.
<u>George Sale</u>	And act not corruptly in the earth, after its reformation;
JM Rodwell	And commit not disorders on the earth after it hath been well ordered;

<u>Asad</u> Hence, <u>do not spread corruption</u> on earth after it has been so well ordered.

The English equivalents chosen for*lAtufsidu* (do notinsubordinate-2nd plural) and their frequency presented in Table 2suggest that no translator has translated this word according to its intended meaning, i.e. disobedient to authority according to Teflisi,Al-Mahalli and Al-Suyuti.

selected equivalences	mischief	confusion	corruption/ corrupt/ corruptly	destroy	disorders
frequency	6	1	7	1	1

Table 2: Frequency of Selected Equivalents

4.2. کردن هلاک= (/al-halāk/) الهلاک (/halākkardan/) = to perish

The71st verse of chapter 23, Surah Al-Mu'menoon (The Believers) was selected for the second meaning of the term*fasād*(al-halāk), meaning*perish*.

هاتسمان قطعا كردمي پسبروي هاآن هوسهاي از حق اگـر :فيهــن ومن والارض السـماوات لفســـدت اهواءهم الحـق اتبـــع ولـو .شـدمي تبــاه هاستآن در كه هر و زميـن و

Transliteration: WalawiittabaalhaquahwAahumlafasadati-ssamawatuwa-l-rzuwamanfihinna

The scholars' interpretations of *fasād*, from which the word*lafasada*t was derived, are mentioned in Table 3 below:

Table 3: Interpretations of the Term Fasād according to Five Authorized Qur'anic Scholars

Tuble 6. Interpretations of the reint radius according to rive radionized Qui and Scholarb			
Qur'anic scholars	Interpretations of the word <i>fasād</i>		
1. Al-Mahalli, Al-Suyuti to deviate from the observed order			

2. Al-Qurtubi	to perish		
3. AllamahTabatabai	to become unbalanced and perish		
4. MakaremShirazi	to filled with chaos and perish		

As one can see above, the two Shiite Iranian scholars have interpreted the term *fasād* as *perish* which is in agreement with Teflisi's interpretation.

Yusuf Ali	truly the heavens and the earth, and all beings therein would have been in confusion and corruption!
<u>Pickthal</u>	verily the heavens and the earth and whosoever is therein had been <u>corrupted</u> .
<u>Arberry</u>	the heavens and the earth and whosoever in them is had surely <u>corrupted</u> .
<u>Shakir</u>	surely the heavens and the earth and all those who are therein would have perished.
<u>Sarwar</u>	the heavens and the earth and all that is in them would have been <u>destroyed</u> .
<u>Khalifa</u>	there would be chaos in the heavens and the earth; everything in them would be <u>corrupted</u> .
<u>Hilali/Khan</u>	verily, the heavens and the earth, and whosoever is therein would have been corrupted!
Saheeh Int.	the heavens and the earth and whoever is in them would have been <u>ruined</u> .
Malik	the heavens, the earth and everything therein would have been <u>disrupted</u> .
Shabbir	verily the heavens and the earth and all beings therein would have fallen into ruin.
<u>MaulanaAli</u>	the heavens and the earth and all those who are therein would perish.
Free Minds	then the heavens and the Earth and all who are in them would have been <u>corrupted</u> .
<u>Qaribullah</u>	the heavens, the earth, and all who live in them would have surely been <u>corrupted</u> .
George Sale	verily the heavens and the earth, and whoever therein is, had been <u>corrupted</u> .
JM Rodwell	the heavens and the earth, and all that therein is, had surely come to <u>ruin.</u>
<u>Asad</u>	the heavens and the earth would surely have fallen into ruin, and all that lives in them [would long ago
	have <u>perished</u>]! [I.e., if the universe - and, especially, human life - had been as devoid of meaning and
	purpose as they imagine, nothing could have endured, and everything would have long since perished

Table 4: Frequency of Selected Equivalents

selected equivalences	confusion and corruption/ corrupted	perished/ perish destroyed		ruined/ ruin	disrupted
frequency	8	2	1	4	1

Following Teflisi's, AllamahTabatabai's and MakaremShirazi's commentaries on this verse, only Shakir and Maulana Ali have chosen an appropriate equivalent.

4.3. القحسط //al-qahat/) القحسط (/khoshk sāli/) = famine, drought

in chaos.]

The 41st verse of chapter 30, Surah Al-Rum (The Romans) was selected for this meaning.

است شده نمودار دريا و خشكى در فساد :والبحر البر في الفساد ظهر. Transliteration: ZaharaalfasAdu fi-l-barriwa-l-bahr

Table 5 shows the scholars' commentary on the meaning of the term *fasād* in the verse under discussion.

Table 5: Interpretations of the Term Fasād according to Five Authorized Qur'anic Scholars

Qur'anic scholars	Interpretations of the word <i>fasād</i>		
1. Al-Mahalli, Al-Suyuti	famine, drought		
2. Al-Qurtubi	famine, drought		
3. AllamahTabatabai	natural disasters such as earthquake, famine, drought, epidemics, wars		

4. MakaremShirazi	corruption and filth

As it is shown above, apart from MakaremShirazi who asserts that the meaning of the term should not be narrowed down tofamine or drought, rather it includes a vast meaning ofcorruption and filth.

<u>Yusuf Ali</u>	Mischief has appeared on land and sea
<u>Pickthal</u>	Corruption doth appear on land and sea
<u>Arberry</u>	Corruption has appeared in the land and sea
<u>Shakir</u>	Corruption has appeared in the land and the sea
Sarwar	Evil has spread over the land and the sea
<u>Khalifa</u>	Disasters have spread throughout the land and sea
<u>Hilali/Khan</u>	Evil(sins and disobedience of Allah, etc.)has appeared on land and sea
Saheeh Int.	Corruption has appeared throughout the land and sea
Malik	Mischief (war between Roman and Persian empires) has appeared in the land and the sea
Shabbir	Rampant corruption and disorder have appeared in the land and in the sea
Maulana Ali	Corruption has appeared in the land and the sea
Free Minds	Pollution has appeared in the land and the sea
Qaribullah	Corruption has appeared on land and sea.
George Sale	Corruption hath appeared by land and by sea,
JM Rodwell	Destruction hath appeared by land and by sea

Asad [Since they have become oblivious of God,] corruption has appeared on land and in the sea

selected equivalences	mischief	corruption	evil	disasters	rampant corruption and disorders	pollution	destruction
frequency	2	8	2	1	1	1	1

According to Teflisi, Al-Mahalli, Al-Suyuti. Al-Qurtubiand AllamahTabatabai, the word *al-fasād* refers to *famine or drought* in this verse. Here, only the translation by Khalifa seems to be fairly appropriate, since famine is considered as a disaster.

4.4. القتسل (/koshtan/) = killing

We would look into the 94th verse of chapter 18, the Surah of Al-Kahf (The Cave), as an instance for this meaning.

.كننـــد ىم فســاد نىزم در سـخت ماجوج و اجوجى :الْأَرْضِ فِي مُفْسِدُونَ وَمَأْجُوجَ يَأْجُوجَ إِنَّ

Transliteration: innaya/joojawama/joojamufsiduna fee al-ardi

In the following table, the scholarly interpretations of the word *fasād*, from which the word*mufsidun* was derived, are presented.

Table 7: Interpretations of the TermFasādaccording to Five Authorized Qur'anic Scholars

Qur'anic scholars	Interpretations of the word <i>fasād</i>
1. Al-Mahalli, Al-Suyuti	plundering and oppression
2. Al-Qurtubi	killing
3. AllamahTabatabai	crime

4. MakaremShirazi	corruption

As shown above, only the Al-Qurtubi's interpretation is in line with Teflisi's remarks.

<u>Yusuf Ali</u>	the Gog and Magog (People) <u>do great mischief</u> on earth:
<u>Pickthal</u>	Lo! Gog and Magog <u>are spoiling</u> the land.
<u>Arberry</u>	behold, Gog and Magog <u>are doing corruption</u> in the earth;
<u>Shakir</u>	surely Gog and Magog <u>make mischief</u> in the land.
<u>Sarwar</u>	Gog and Magog <u>are ravaging</u> this land.
<u>Khalifa</u>	Gog and Magogare corruptors of the earth.
<u>Hilali/Khan</u>	Verily! Yajooj and Majooj (Gog and Magog) <u>are doing great mischief</u> in the land.
Saheeh Int.	indeed Gog and Magogare [great] corruptersin the land.
<u>Malik</u>	People of Gog and Magog <u>ravage</u> this land;
Shabbir	Behold, Gog and Magogare spoiling this land. They keep attacking and commit bloody crimes.
<u>Maulana Ali</u>	Gog and Magog <u>do mischief</u> in the land.
Free Minds	Gog and Magog <u>are destroyers</u> of the land,
<u>Qaribullah</u>	'Look, Gog and Magog <u>are corrupting</u> the earth.
George Sale	verily Gog and Magog <u>waste</u> the land;
JM Rodwell	verily, Gog and Magog <u>waste</u> this land;

Asad

Behold, Gog and Magogare spoiling this land.

Table 8: Frequency of Selected Equivalents

selected equivalences	great mischief/ mischief	spoiling	corruption/ corrupters	ravaging/ ravage	destroyers	waste
frequency	4	3	4	2	1	2

Thus, no translator has directly referred to the act of killing intended in this verse according to Teflisi and Al-Qurtubi. However, the translation by Shabbir who has added the extra explanatory sentence *keep attacking and commit bloody crimes*, indicating the attack and the bloody crimes, seems to be a measure in rendering the intended pragmatic interpretation.

4.5. کردن خراب= (/al-takhrib/) کردن خراب) (/kharābkardan/) = destroying

The selected verse for this meaning is the 34thverse of chapter 27, the Surah, Al-Naml (The Ant).

گرداننـــدمى تبـــاه را آن در آينــد شهرى بــه چون پادشــاهان گفــت {ملكـه} : أَفْسَنُوهَا قَرْيَةً دَخَلُوا إذا الْمُلُوكَ إِنَّ قَالتْ. Transliteration: Qalat inn-a-Imulukaizadakhaluqaryatanafsaduha

Table 9 below shows the scholars' commentary on the meaning of the term fasad in the verse under discussion.

Qur'anic scholars	Interpretations of the word <i>fasād</i>	
1. Al-Mahalli, Al-Suyuti	ruin with destruction	
2. Al-Qurtubi	destroying	
3. Allamah Tabatabai	destroying, firing	
4. Makarem Shirazi	corrupting and destroying	

- 144 -

As shown above, all suggested interpretations by the five authorized scholars are in line with Teflisi's remarks.

Literal	She said: "That truly the kings if they entered a village/urban city they corruptedit."
Yusuf Ali	She said: "Kings, when they enter a country, <u>despoil</u> it."
<u>Pickthal</u>	She said: Lo! Kings, when they enter a township, <u>ruin</u> it."
Arberry	She said, 'Kings, when they enter a city, <u>disorder</u> it."
<u>Shakir</u>	She said: Surely the kings, when they enter a town, <u>ruin</u> it."
<u>Sarwar</u>	She said, "When Kings enter a town they <u>destroy</u> it."
<u>Khalifa</u>	She said, "The kings <u>corrupt</u> any land they invade."
<u>Hilali/Khan</u>	She said: "Verily! Kings, when they enter a town (country), they despoil it."
Saheeh Int.	She said, Indeed kings when they enter a city, they <u>ruin</u> it."
<u>Malik</u>	The queen said: "When the kings invade a town, they <u>ruin</u> it."
Shabbir	She said, "Behold, when kings invade a town, they <u>ruin</u> it."
<u>Maulana Ali</u>	She said: "Surely the kings, when they enter a town, <u>ruin</u> it."
Free Minds	She said: "When the kings enter a town they <u>destroy</u> it."
<u>Qaribullah</u>	She said: "When kings enter a village, they <u>ruin</u> it."
<u>George Sale</u>	She said, verily kings, when they enter a city by force, <u>waste</u> the same."
<u>JM Rodwell</u>	She said, "Kings when they enter a city <u>spoil</u> it."

<u>Asad</u> Said she: "Verily, whenever kings enter a country they <u>corrupt</u> it."

Table 10: Frequency of Selected Equivalents

selected equivalences	corrupted/ corrupt	despoil	ruin	disorder	destroy	waste	spoil
frequency	3	1	7	1	2	1	1

Following the five interpreters' commentaries on this verse, only the translators of the Free Minds website and Sarwar have selected an appropriate equivalent. Albeit, the word *ruin*chosen byseven of the translators suggests approximately a similar meaning.

4.6. السحر (al-sehr) جادو كردن= (/jādūkardan/) = bewitching

The verse that we examined for this meaning is the 81stverse of chapter 10, the Surah of Yunos (Jonah).

```
.كندمى ان الله لايمسلح عمسل المفسدين: خددا كسار مفسدان را تاييد ن
```

Transliteration: innaAllaha la yuslihuAamalaalmufsidin

Table 11: Interpretations of the TermFasādaccording to Five Authorized Qur'anic Scholars

Qur'anic scholars	Interpretations of the word <i>fasād</i>
1. Al-Mahalli, Al-Suyuti	corruption
2. Al-Qurtubi	bewitching
3. AllamahTabatabai	corruption
4. MakaremShirazi	corruption

Yusuf Ali	for Allah prospereth not the work of those who make mischief.
Pickthal	Lo! Allah upholdeth not the work of mischief-makers.
<u>Arberry</u>	God sets not right the work of those who do corruption.
Shakir	surely Allah does not make the work of mischief-makers to thrive.

He will not make the deeds of the corrupt people righteous. <u>Sarwar</u> <u>Khalifa</u> God does not support the transgressors' work. Hilali/Khan Allah will surely make it of no effect. Verily, Allah does not set right the work of Al-Mufsidoon (the evildoers, corrupts, etc.). Saheeh Int. Allah will expose its worthlessness. Indeed, Allah does not amend the work of corrupters. Malik for Allah does not promote the work of mischief makers. Shabbir Allah's Laws annihilate the political and social systems that promote corruption, disorder and bloody feuds. Maulana Surely Allah will make it naught. Surely Allah allows not the work of mischief-makers to thrive. Ali Free Minds God does not set right the work of the corrupters. Qaribullah Surely, Allah will render it as nothing. Allah does not put right the work of the corrupt. George Sale for God prospereth not the work of the wicked doers. IM Rodwell God prospereth not the work of the evildoers.

<u>Asad</u> verily, God will bring to nought! Verily, God does not further the works of <u>spreaders of corruption</u>.

The translators have used a variety of English words to transfer the meaning of *almufsidin*. Yet, none has taken the sense of *bewitching* into consideration, except Sale who has done a rather close translation by including the word *wicked* in his translation.

Table 12: Frequency	of Selected Equivalents
---------------------	-------------------------

selected equivalences	mischief/ mischief-makers	corruption/ corrupt people/ corruptors	the transgressors	Al-mufsidoon	wicked doers	evildoers
frequency	5	6	1	1	1	1

4.7. الفسساد (al-fasād) حردن ىتبساه= (/tabāhikardan/) = corruption

In this part the 205th verse of chapter 2, the Surah of Al-Bagharah (The Cow) has been selected for comparing the chosen English equivalents of the word *Fasād*.

Transliteration: Wa-izatawallasaA fi-al-arziliyufsidafihawayuhlika-l-harthawannasl

Table 13: Interpretations of the TermFasādaccording to Five Authorized Qur'anic Scholars

Qur'anic scholars	Interpretations of the word <i>fasād</i>
1. Al-Mahalli, Al-Suyuti	corruption
2. Al-Qurtubi	corruption
3. AllamahTabatabai	corruption
4. MakaremShirazi	corruption

Yusuf Ali to spread mischief through the earth and destroy crops and cattle.

Pickthal to make mischief therein and to destroy the crops and the cattle;

Arberry to do corruption there and to destroy the tillage and the stock;

Shakir that he may cause mischief in it and destroy the tilth and the stock,

Sarwar they quickly commit evil in the land, destroying the farms and people.

Khalifaheroams the earth corruptingly, destroying properties and lives.Hilali/Khaito make mischief therein and to destroy the crops and the cattle, and Allah likes not mischief.Saheeh Int.to cause corruption therein and destroy crops and animals.Maliktowardscausing mischief in the land, destroying crops and cattle.Shabbirtheycreate corruption in the earth. Their rule results in destruction of the produce of land and labor and the damage done by them affects generations.Maulana
Alito courupt the Earth and destroy its crops, and people's lineage.Pree Mindsto corrupt there and destroy crops and cattle.Qaribullahto corrupt there and destroy crops and cattle.

George Sale to destroy that which is sown, and springeth up: JM Rodwell to enact disorders therein, and layeth waste the fields and flocks:

Asad spreading corruption and destroying [man's] tilth and progeny:

Table 14: Freq	uency of Selected	Equivalents
----------------	-------------------	-------------

selected equivalences	mischief	corruption/ roams corruptingly/ corrupt	evil	destroy	disorders
frequency	6	7	1	1	1

As can be seen above, Arberry, Saheeb, Asad, Qaribullah and the translation by the Free Minds website have used the words *corruption and corrupt* which are the same as the intended meaning in the original text as suggested by the fiveQur'anic scholars. The other English equivalents i.e. *mischief, disorders, destroy and evil* seem to be appropriate meanings.

4.8. Concluding Remarks

The analysis and discussion above revealed the fact that approximately all the translators of theselected sixteen translations were incognizant f the delicate differences of meanings of the word *fasād* and its derivations in their renderings of the seven chosen verses. A comprehensive tabulation of various renderings is presented in Table 15 below.

Table15: Equivalents of the Seven Different Meanings of the Qur'anic Term Fasād and its Derivations in Sixteen English Translations

insul	oordination to perishfan	nine		killing c	orruption bewitchin	g
tr 1	do not mischief	confusion and corruption	mischief	do great mischief	to spread mischief	those who make mischief
tr 2	work not confusion	corrupted	corruption	are spoiling	to make mischief	mischief-makers
tr 3	do not corruption	corrupted	corruption	are doing corruption	to do corruption	who do corruption
tr 4	do not make mischief	perished	corruption	make mischief	cause mischief	mischief-makers
tr 5	do not destroy	destroyed	evil	are ravaging	commit evil	the corrupt people
tr 6	do not corrupt	corrupted	disasters	are corruptors	roams the earth corruptingly	the transgressors'
tr 7	do not do mischief	corrupted	evil (sins and disobedience of Allah, etc.)	are doing great mischief	to make mischief	Al-Mufsidoon (the evil-doers, corrupts, etc.)
tr 8	cause not corruption	ruined	corruption	are (great) corrupters	to cause corruption	corrupters
tr 9	do not create mischief	disrupted	mischief (war between Roman and Persian empires)	ravage	causing mischief	mischief makers

- 146 -

tr 10	do not spread corruption	ruin	rampant corruption and disorders	arespoiling/ They keep attacking and commit bloody crimes.	create corruption	annihilate the political and social systems that promote corruption, disorder and bloody feuds
tr 11	make not mischief	perish	corruption	do mischief	to cause mischief	mischief makers
tr 12	do not corrupt	corrupted	pollution	are destroyers	to corrupt	corrupters
tr 13	do not make mischief	corrupted	corruption	are corrupting	to corrupt	corrupt
tr 14	act not corruptly	corrupted	corruption	waste	to destroy	wicked doers
tr 15	commit disorders	ruin	destruction	waste	to enact disorders	evildoers
tr 16	do not spread corruption	ruin	corruption	are spoiling	spreading corruption	spreaders of corruption

The results shown in Table 15 above and Table 16 below, however, do not mean that the translators have mistranslated the term*fasād*, rather, they have rendered the primary sense of the term, i.e. the first and immediate sense suggested by the word *fasād*, without having attended to contextual clues. In other words, they have ignored the secondary senses of the homonymous term*fasād* which best fit the context in which they occur.

tr1	0
tr2	0
tr3	1
tr4	1
tr5	0
tr6	0
tr7	0
tr8	1
tr9	0
tr10	0
tr11	1
tr12	1
tr13	1
tr14	0
tr15	0
tr16	1
tr1	0
tr2	0

Table 16: Frequency of Correct Equivalents Used in each Translation

Ambiguity resulting from homonymous terms of the source text may be conscious or unconscious. This binds the translator to a twofold responsibility. On the other hand, it is the translator's job to reproduce and retain the intended ambiguity in the translated text. Unconscious ambiguity, on the other hand, has to be clarified and disambiguated according to situational or linguistic context. In such cases, the translator's job is to sacrifice the less important meaning or, according to Newmark (1988), "appending the less likely meaning if there is the slightest possibility of it being the correct one" (Vahid and Zamani, 2009, p. 78). The ambiguity in religious texts arises from the nature of these texts which are subject to their contexts within the community, rather than their semantic contexts for their understanding (Shackle 2005). In fact, the ambiguity imposed on thetranslator in rendering sacred texts is

different from what is resulted from non-sacred texts translation, in that the former is a matter of both 'contexts of culture', e.g. cultural associations of the Qur'anic expressions in the present research, and 'different interpretations' by various scholars.

REFERENCES

ABUL-RAOF, H. (2001). Qur'an Translation: Discourse, Texture and Exegesis. London: Routledge Curzon Press. AL-HILALI, M. & Khan, M. (1977). (Rough Translation of the Meaning of) The Noble Quran in the English Language. Riyadh: Dar-us-Salam Publications.

ALI, A. (1990). The Meaning of the Holy Qur'an. New Delhi: KitabBhavan.

AL-MAHALLI, J. & As-Suyuti, J. (1505). Tafsir al-Jalalayn. [on-line] available at http://quran.al-islam.com/arb.

Al-Qurtubi, (1273). Tafsir Al-Qurtubi. [on-line] available at http://quran.al-islam.com/arb.

ARBERRY, J. (1955). The Koran Interpreted. New York: McMillan Publishing Company.

ASAD, M. (1980). The Message of the Quran. Gibraltar: Dar Al-Andalus.

BALDICK, Chris (2008). The Oxford Dictionary of Literary. US: Oxford University Press.

FROMKIN, V. & Rodman, R.(1988). An Introduction to Language. Los Angeles, CA: University of California.

KARIMI, L. (2006). Equivalence in Translation. Translation Journal. [on-line] available at http://accurapid.com/ journal/35equiv.htm.

KHALIFA, R. (1978).The Qur'an: The Final Scripture. Tucson, AZ, USA: Islamic Productions. KIYAVITSKAYA, N. et al. (2008). Requirements for Tools for Ambiguity Identification and Measurement in Natural Language Requirements Specifications. Requirements Engineering.13(3), 207-239. USA : Springer-Verlag New York, Inc.

KLEPOUSNIOTOU, E. (2002). The Processing of Lexical Ambiguity: Homonymy and Polysemy in

[on-line] and available Mental Lexicon. Brain Language. http://www.ling.ohiothe at state.edu/~rytting/teaching/615/615_feb05/Klepousniotou_2002_polysemy_homonym _review.pdf.

MAKAREM SHIRAZI, N. (1982). TafsirNemoone [on-line] available at http://www.andishegom.com/Files/ qurantafasir.php?idVeiw=3705&level=2&subid=3705.

MALIK, M. (1997). English Translation of the Meaning of Al-Quran. Texas, USA: The Institute of Islamic Knowledge.

MAULANA, M. (2002). The Holy Qur'an with English Translation and Commentary. USA: Ahmadiyya AnjumanIshaat.

NEWMARK, P. (1988). A Textbook of Translation. London: Routledge.

PICKTHALL, M. (1996). The Meaning of the Glorious Qur'an. New Delhi: KitabBhavan.

QARIBULLAH, H.&Darwish, A. (2001). The Meaning of the Glorious Koran. Cairo: Umm Durman. University.

RICHARDS, J. et al (1985). Dictionary of Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics, Third Edition. UK: Pearson Education Limited.

RODWELL, J. M. (1981). The Koran.Dent and Sons.Gutenberg: The Gutenberg Press.

SALE, G. (1880). The Al Koran of Mohammed. New York: W. L. Allison Com.

SARWAR, M. (1981). The Holy Qur'an: Arab Text and English Translation. Imam Al Khoei Islamic Center.

SCHULZE, H. (2001). The identification of word classes in connection with the differentiation between homonymy and polysemy. Munich: Grin Publishing.

SHABBIR, A. (2003). The Qur'an As It Explains Itself.USA: Lighthouse.

SHAKIR, M.H. (1985). The Holy Qur'an. New York: Tahrike Tarsile Qur'an.

TABATABAI, H. (1892-1981). Tafsir Al-Mizan.[on-line] available at http://www.andisheqom.com/ Files/ qurantafasir.php?idVeiw=3701&level=2&subid=3701.

The Message: A Translation of the Glorious Qur'an. [on-line] available at http://free-minds.org/quran.

Umm Muhammad et al. (n.d.). The Holy Qur'an. Saudi Arabia: Saheeh International, Dar AbulQasim Publishing House. VAHID DASTJERDI, H. &Zamani, B. (2009). A Semantic Study of the Translation of Homonymous Terms in Sacred Texts: the Qur'an in focus. Journal of Language and Translation, 10 (1), 45-79, Seoul: SejongUniversiy.

YULE, G.(1966). The Study of Language. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.